From Eye to Insight



Technical Brief AN INTRODUCTION TO COMPUTATIONAL CLEARING:

A New Method to Remove Out-of-Focus Blur

> WITHOUT Computational Clearing

WITH Computational Clearing

Authors

Levi Felts, PhD Marketing Manager Vikram Kohli, PhD Advanced Workflow Specialist

James M. Marr, PhD Advanced Workflow Specialist Jan Schumacher, PhD Advanced Workflow Specialist Oliver Schlicker, PhD Product Manager

Introduction Where does background originate from?

Commonly in widefield (WF) microscopy, the imaging of objects in the object plane result in captured images that are compounded by image haze (referred to as background noise and shown in Figure 1). The presence of background noise in an image obscures structural features from being resolved. In WF microscopy background noise arises from the convolution of multiple sources including autofluorescence, dark current, sensor noise, and light collected from out of focus planes, Figure 1. The amount of light collected and imaged on the detector plane depends on the thickness of the sample, the number of scatters and wavelength of light, as well as the numerical aperture (NA) of the objective lens. As the NA of the objective lens increases, so does the half collection angle of captured light. Many software packages include background subtraction algorithms to enhance the contrast of features in the image by reducing background noise. An enhancement in

image contrast does not improve image resolution. Contrast depends on the difference between the object and background intensity and scales inversely with the background intensity. Methods to suppress the background through smoothening or subtraction, can yield images with improved contrast. Many methods exist to remove background noise, the most commonly used being rolling ball and sliding paraboloid [1,2]. Recently, Leica Microsystems introduced their own background subtraction method called Instant Computational Clearing (ICC) [3], which is present in all Leica THUNDER WF imaging platforms. Independent of the chosen background subtraction method, each algorithm strives to minimize noise and feature erosion while retaining the underlying structural details of the image.

the analysis and quantification of captured data.

FIGURE 1



Figure 1: In widefield microscopy many sources of background noise, including but not limited to, dark current (detector readout), autofluorescence (from the sample) and light collected from out-of-focus planes convolve with the signal of interest (in-focus image; objective focal plane), resulting in the collected image shown in (A). It is the addition of these background noise sources (yellow dashed line) that contaminates the signal of interest (orange line). Background subtraction methods attempt to reduce these sources of noise to improve image contrast, (B).



Figure 2: Mouse Kidney stained with membrane glycoproteins by wheat germ agglutinin - Alexa488. Commercially available from Thermo Fisher Scientific; FluoCells prepared slide #3. Imaged with a 63x/1.4NA oil objective and a GFP filter set (ex488/em524). (A) Widefield fluorescent image prior to background subtraction. The red box represents a cropped region of the entire field of view, and is the same region shown in (B-D). (B-D) Background subtraction was performed on the cropped region,

(B) ICC, (C) Rolling Ball, and (D) Sliding Paraboloid. The feature scale value for ICC was 619 nm. For a consistent comparison, the feature scale value of 619 nm was converted to a pixel value for rolling ball (pixel value = 6) and sliding paraboloid (pixel value = 0.2) based on the size of the imaging sensor. Both the rolling ball and sliding paraboloid background subtraction methods were performed in FIJI [3]. Scale bar = 50 μ m.

Common Background Subtraction Methods

Rolling ball (Figure 2C) is a common background subtraction algorithm that uses a structural element placed over the image with a radius of curvature of the ball set by the user in pixels [1,2,4]. To efficiently remove the background, the pixel radius is set to a pixel value that is as large or slightly greater than the largest sized feature in the image. Relative to the intensity peaks of the features in the image, the background is considered smooth, and with the structural element set to a value larger than the width of the feature, the structural element operates on the background by changing the local background value of the pixel. Often, a Gaussian filter is applied to the image prior to implementing the rolling ball, with the Gaussian filter acting as a low pass frequency filter that smoothens the image. Applying a Gaussian filter prior to the rolling ball can help to reduce image noise yielding an improved background subtraction.

Sliding paraboloid (Figure 2D) is another popular background subtraction method, similar to rolling ball. Sliding paraboloid replaces the ball with

the apex of a paraboloid, with a radius value defining the curvature of the paraboloid [4]. By sliding the paraboloid across the image, the local background is subtracted by estimating the intensity variation across the apex. This method can be a better treatment of the data when the features do not correspond well to pixel values. Functionally, the subtracted background is performed in a similar way to rolling ball, and pre-filters such as Gaussian filtering can be applied to suppress noise in the resultant image.

Rolling ball, sliding paraboloid and other background subtraction methods require the use of structural elements, wavelets or point spread function modelling to estimate and subtract the background [1,2,4,5]. Identifying the parameters for efficient background removal with minimal feature erosion can be time consuming, is typically performed manually and implemented as a post-acquisition workflow. Leica Microsystems has developed a new background subtraction algorithm which addresses many of these challenges.

Leica's Solution: Instant Computational Clearing

The ICC algorithm [3] offered by Leica uses a different approach to increase image contrast. ICC does not implement structural elements, wavelet transforms, or use point spread function (PSF) modeling to estimate the background noise. ICC improves image contrast by considering the entire image and applies a model to distinguish signal (structures within the image) from the background noise. Similar to minimizing a cost function [6,7,8,9] for linear regression, ICC minimizes a non-quadratic cost function to estimate the background noise, Eq 1:

 $||y - x||_{non-quadratic} + \gamma ||\nabla x||_2^2 \text{ Eq } 1.$

where *y* is the input parameter, *x* is the background noise to be estimated, $\gamma ||\nabla x||_2^2$ is the L_2 regularization term, and γ is the regularization parameter. The regularization parameter is set to a default value proportional to the full width at half maximum of the PSF of the optical system or is a user selectable value based on the size of specific features in the image. Collectively, $\gamma ||\nabla x||_2^2$ acts to penalize the cost function to prevent overfitting of the background, or erosion of targeted features. Once the background noise has been estimated, it is subtracted from the image to reveal the true signal (Figure 2B).



Conclusion

Both rolling ball and sliding paraboloid can be found in 3rd party image analysis tools including paid microscopy software packages as well as open source software such as FIJI [4]. Variations do exist in how these subtraction methods are applied; however, they are commonly implemented on the raw data as a post-processing step outside of the imaging workflow, post-acquisition. The application of these two background subtraction methods on the raw data is an iterative process, requiring the user to identify the best pixel value to use to enhance the features in the image while preserving structural details. In contrast, ICC is fully integrated into the imaging workflow for background noise removal and image contrast enhancement. ICC can be applied both post-acquisition or during acquisition, the latter providing an instantaneous real-time preview of the contrast improved image as the data is being acquired. The unaltered raw data is preserved following ICC, which allows users to perform ground truth analysis. Following ICC, both the raw and the processed datasets can be further compared and analyzed using the 3D visualization and 2D and 3D analysis packages in Leica's LASX software.

Image background subtraction using either rolling ball, sliding paraboloid or ICC produce images with improved clarity. However, the improvement is limited to an enhancement in contrast without any effect on image resolution. To improve image resolution Leica offers an adaptive deconvolution algorithm that can be paired with ICC to produce an image that has both improved contrast and resolution. The adaptive nature of the algorithm arises from the creation of adaptive signal to noise ratio coefficients on a voxel-by-voxel basis that constrains the deconvolution through regularization. An in-depth discussion of Leica's adaptive deconvolution will be covered in our next upcoming technology brief.

References

[1] Sternberg, "Biomedical Image Processing," in *Computer*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 22-34, Jan. 1983, doi: 10.1109/MC.1983.1654163.

[2] Rodrigues, Mariana C.M., and Matthias Militzer. "Application of the Rolling Ball Algorithm to Measure Phase Volume Fraction from Backscattered Electron Images." *Materials Characterization*, Elsevier, 12 Mar. 2020, www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1044580319328104.

[3] Walter, Kai., and Ziesche, Florian. (2019) Apparatus and Method, Particularly for microscopes and endoscopes, using baseline estimation and half-quadratic minimization for the deblurring of images. WO 2019/185174 A1. European Patent Office, 3 October 2019.

[4] Schindelin, Johannes, et al. "Fiji: an Open-Source Platform for Biological-Image Analysis." Nature News, Nature Publishing Group, 28 June 2012, www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.2019.

[5] https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/developer/api/ij/plugin/filter/ BackgroundSubtracter.html [6] Mazet, V. et al. "Background removal from spectra by designing and minimizing a non-quadratic cost function." Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems 76 (2005): 121-133.

[7] Peng, Jiangtao, et al. "Asymmetric Least Squares for Multiple Spectra Baseline Correction." *Analytica Chimica Acta*, Elsevier, 15 Oct. 2010, www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/

[8] Peng, Jiangtao, et al. "Spike Removal and Denoising of Raman Spectra by Wavelet Transform Methods." *Analytical Chemistry*, 21 July 2001, pubs. acs.org/doi/10.1021/ac0013756. S0003267010010627?via=ihub.

[9] Ramos, Pablo Manuel, and Itziar Ruisánchez. "Noise and Background Removal in Raman Spectra of Ancient Pigments Using Wavelet Transform." Wiley Online Library, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 16 June 2005, onlinelibrary. wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jrs.1370.

www.leica-microsystems.com





Cover image: Mouse retina was fixed and stained by following reagents: anti-CD31 antibody (green): Endothelia cells, IsoB4 (red): Blood vessels, and microglia anti-GFAP antibody (blue): Astrocytes Sample courtesy by Jeremy Burton, PhD and Jiyeon Lee, PhD, Genentech Inc., South San Francisco, USA. Imaged by Olga Davydenko, PhD (Leica)

Back cover image: Mouse kidney section with Alexa Fluor^M 488 WGA, Alexa Fluor^M 568 Phalloidin, and DAPI. Sample is a FluoCells^M prepared slide #3 from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA.

Leica Microsystems CMS GmbH | Ernst-Leitz-Strasse 17–37 | D-35578 Wetzlar (Germany) Tel. +49 (0) 6441 29-0 | F +49 (0) 6441 29-2599

www.leica-microsystems.com/thunder

MC-0001347–15.09.2020. Copyright © 2020 Leica Microsystems CMS GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany. All rights reserved. Subject to modifications. LEICA and the Leica Logo are registered trademarks of Leica Microsystems IR GmbH.

CONNECT

